On existence of a limit average value for a optimal control problem with horizon tending to infinity

> Marc Quincampoix Université de Brest Porquerolles, October 2009

M. Q., & J. Renault, On Existence of a limit value in some non expansive optimal control problems, (*submitted*) (2009)

$$V_t(y_0) := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{t} \int_{s=0}^t h(y(s, u, y_0), u(s)) ds,$$

where  $s \mapsto y(s, u, y_0)$  denotes the solution to

 $y'(s) = g(y(s), u(s)), \quad y(0) = y_0.$ 

 $g: IR^d \times U \to IR^d$  Lipschitz, U compact, g h bounded. **PROBLEM : Existence of a limit of**  $V_t(y_0)$  as  $t \to +\infty$ . No ergodicity condition here (Lions-Papanicolaou- Varadhan, Arisawa-Lions, Bettiol, Alvarez-Bardi Capuzzo-Dolcetta, Artstein-Gaitsgory, ...)The limit may depend on the initial condition

# Contents

- 1. Introduction and examples
- 2. Existence of limit value in nonexpansive case
- $3. \ Generalisations$

### Introduction

**Definition 1** The problem  $\Gamma(y_0) := (\Gamma_t(y_0))_{t>0}$  has a limit value if

$$V(y_0) := \lim_{t \to \infty} V_t(y_0) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{t} \int_{s=0}^t h(y(s, u, y_0), u(s)) ds.$$

**Definition 2** The problem  $\Gamma(y_0)$  has a uniform value if it has a limit value  $V(y_0)$  and if:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists u \in \mathcal{U}, \exists t_0, \forall t \ge t_0, \frac{1}{t} \int_{s=0}^t h(y(s, u, y_0), u(s)) ds \le V(y_0) + \varepsilon.$$

## Examples

• Example 1: here  $y \in IR^2$  (seen as the complex plane  $i^2 = -1$ ), there is no control

$$y'(t) = i y(t),$$
$$V_t(y_0) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} \frac{1}{2\pi |y_0|} \int_{|z| = |y_0|} h(z) dz,$$

and since there is no control, the value is uniform.

• Example 2: in the complex plane again, but now  $g(y, u) = i \ y \ u$ , where  $u \in U$  a given bounded subset of IR, and h is continuous in y.

• Example 3: g(y, u) = -y + u, where  $u \in U$  a given bounded subset of  $IR^d$ , and h is continuous in y.

• Example 4: in  $IR^2$ . The initial state is  $y_0 = (0,0)$  and U = [0,1], and the cost is  $h(y) = 1 - y_1(1 - y_2)$ .

$$y'(s) = g(y(s), u(s)) = \begin{pmatrix} u(s)(1 - y_1(s)) \\ u^2(s)(1 - y_1(s)) \end{pmatrix}$$

One can easily observe that the reachable set  $G(y_0) \subset [0,1]^2$ . If  $u = \varepsilon > 0$  constant,  $y_1(t) = 1 - \exp(-\varepsilon t)$  and  $y_2(t) = \varepsilon y_1(t)$ . So we have  $V_t(y_0) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} 0$ . Existence of a Uniform Value No ergodicity :

$$\{y \in [0,1]^2, \lim_{t \to \infty} V_t(y) = \lim_{t \to \infty} V_t(y_0)\} = [0,1] \times \{0\},\$$

and starting from  $y_0$  it is possible to reach no point in  $(0,1] \times \{0\}$ .

## Examples

• Example 5: in  $IR^2$ ,  $y_0 = (0,0)$ , control set U = [0,1],  $y'(t) = (y_2(t), u(t))$ , and  $h(y_1, y_2) = 0$  if  $y_1 \in [1,2]$ , = 1 otherwise. We have  $u(s) = y'_2(s) = y''_1(s)$ ,

Interpretation: u "acceleration",  $y_2$  "speed",  $y_1$  the "position".

If  $u = \varepsilon$  constant, then  $y_2(t) = \sqrt{2\varepsilon y_1(t)} \quad \forall t \ge 0$ . Limit Value:  $V_T(y_0) \xrightarrow[T \to \infty]{} 1/2$ No Uniform Value.  $\begin{cases} \text{The function } h: IR^d \times U \longrightarrow IR \text{ is measurable and bounded} \\ \exists L \ge 0, \forall (y, y') \in IR^{2d}, \forall u \in U, \|g(y, u) - g(y', u)\| \le L \|y - y'\| \\ \exists a > 0, \forall (y, u) \in IR^d \times U, \|g(y, u)\| \le a(1 + \|y\|) \end{cases} \end{cases}$ 

Average cost induced by u between 0 and t by:

$$\gamma_t(y_0, u) := \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t h(y(s, u, y_0), u(s)) ds$$

The corresponding Value function satisfies  $V_t(y_0) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \gamma_t(y_0, u)$ 

for 
$$m \ge 0$$
,  $\gamma_{m,t}(y_0, u) := \frac{1}{t} \int_m^{m+t} h(y(s, u, y_0), u(s)) ds$ ,

# Contents

- 1. Introduction and examples
- 2. Existence of limit value in nonexpansive case
- $3. \ Generalisations$

### A Technical Lemma

We define  $V^{-}(y_{0}) := \liminf_{t \to +\infty} V_{t}(y_{0}), V^{+}(y_{0}) := \limsup_{t \to +\infty} V_{t}(y_{0})$ Lemma 3 For every  $m_{0}$  in  $IR_{+}$ , we have:  $\sup_{t>0} \inf_{m \leq m_{0}} V_{m,t}(y_{0}) \geq V^{+}(y_{0}) \geq V^{-}(y_{0}) \geq \sup_{t>0} \inf_{m \geq 0} V_{m,t}(y_{0}).$ Sketch of the Proof: We first prove  $\sup_{t>0} \inf_{m \leq m_{0}} V_{m,t}(y_{0}) \geq V^{+}(y_{0}).$  Suppose by contradiction that  $\exists \varepsilon > 0 \ \forall t > 0$  we have  $\inf_{m \leq m_{0}} V_{m,t}(y_{0}) \leq V^{+}(y_{0}) - \varepsilon$ . Hence for any t > 0

there exists  $m \leq m_0$  with  $V_{m,t}(y_0) \leq V^+(y_0) - (\varepsilon/2)$ . Now observe that

$$V_{m,t}(y_0) \ge \frac{m_0 + t}{t} V_{m_0 + t}(y_0) - 2\frac{m_0}{t}.$$

Passing to the limsup as  $t \to +\infty$  we obtain a contradiction.

We now prove  $V^{-}(y_0) \geq \sup_{t>0} \inf_{m \leq 0} V_{m,t}(y_0)$ . Assume on the contrary that it is false. Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and t > 0 such that  $V^{-}(y_0) + \varepsilon \leq \inf_{m \leq 0} V_{m,t}(y_0)$ . So for any  $m \geq 0$ , we have  $V^{-}(y_0) + \varepsilon \leq V_{m,t}(y_0)$ . We will obtain a contradiction by concatenating trajectories.

Recall the result of the Lemma

$$\sup_{t>0} \inf_{m \le m_0} V_{m,t}(y_0) \ge V^+(y_0) \ge V^-(y_0) \ge \sup_{t>0} \inf_{m \ge 0} V_{m,t}(y_0),$$
  
and define

**Definition** 4

$$V^*(y_0) = \sup_{t>0} \inf_{m \ge 0} V_{m,t}(y_0).$$

Denote by  $G(y_0) := \{y(t, u, y_0), t \ge 0, u \in \mathcal{U}\}$  the reachable set Theorem 5 (H'1) h(y, u) = h(y) only depends on the state, (H'2)  $G(y_0)$  is bounded, (H'3)  $\forall (y_1, y_2) \in G(y_0)^2$ ,  $\sup_{u \in U} \inf_{v \in U} < y_1 - y_2, g(y_1, u) - y_2 = 0$  $q(y_2, v) \ge 0.$ Then  $\Gamma(y_0)$  has a limit value  $V_t(y_0) \xrightarrow[t \to +\infty]{t \to +\infty} V^*(y_0)$ . The convergence of  $(V_t)_t$  to  $V^*$  is uniform over  $G(y_0)$ , and we have  $V^*(y_0) = \sup_{t>1} \inf_{m>0} V_{m,t}(y_0) = \inf_{m>0} \sup_{t>1} V_{m,t}(y_0) =$  $\lim_{m\to\infty,t\to\infty} V_{m,t}(y_0)$ . Moreover the value of  $\Gamma(y_0)$  is uniform.

• Example 1: here  $y \in IR^2$  (seen as the complex plane  $i^2 = -1$ ), there is no control

$$y'(t) = i \ y(t),$$

• Example 2: in the complex plane

 $y'(t) = i \ y(t) \ u(t)$ 

, where  $u \in U$  a given bounded subset of IR, and h is continuous in y.

• Example 3: g(y, u) = -y + u, where  $u \in U$  a given bounded subset of  $IR^d$ , and h is continuous in y.

Lemma 6  $\forall T > 0, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall (y_1, y_2) \in G(y_0)^2, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}, \exists v \in \mathcal{U}, \forall t \in [0, T], \|y(t, u, y_1) - y(t, v, y_2)\| \leq \|y_1 - y_2\| + \varepsilon.$ 

**Proposition 7**  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists m_0, \sup_{t>0} \inf_{m \le m_0} V_{m,t}(y_0) \le \sup_{t>0} \inf_{m \ge 0} V_{m,t}(y_0) + 2\varepsilon$ 

- $(V_T(y_0))_{T>0}$  is equicontinuous (Lemma 6 + continuity of h)
- Define  $G^m(y_0) := \{y(t, u, y_0), t \le m, u \in \mathcal{U}\}$  the reachable set in time m.

 $\forall \varepsilon, \exists m_0, \forall z \in G(y_0), \exists z' \in G^{m_0}(y_0) \text{ such that } ||z - z'|| \leq \varepsilon.$ 

• We have  $\inf_{m\geq 0} V_{m,t}(y_0) = \inf\{V_t(z), z \in G(y_0)\}$ , and  $\inf_{m\leq m_0} V_{m,t}(y_0)$  $\inf\{V_t(z), z \in G^{m_0}(y_0)\}$ . By steps 1 and 2  $\inf\{V_t(z), z \in G^{m_0}(y_0)\} \leq \inf\{V_t(z), z \in G(y_0)\} + 2\varepsilon$ .

# Contents

- 1. Introduction and examples
- 2. Existence of limit value in nonexpansive case
- **3.** ► *Generalisations*

# A Generalization

We put  $Z = G(y_0)$ , and  $\overline{Z}$  its closure

Theorem 8 (H1) h is uniformly continuous in y on Z uniformly in u. And for each y in Z, either h does not depend on u or the set  $\{(g(y, u), h(y, u)) \in IR^d \times [0, 1], u \in U\}$  is closed. (H2):  $\exists \Delta : IR^d \times IR^d \longrightarrow IR_+$ , vanishing on the diagonal  $(\Delta(y,y)=0)$  and symmetric  $(\Delta(y_1,y_2)=\Delta(y_2,y_1))$ , and a function  $\hat{\alpha} : IR_+ \longrightarrow IR_+ s.t. \hat{\alpha}(t) \xrightarrow[t \to 0]{} 0$  satisfying: a)  $\forall$  sequence  $(z_n)_n \subset Z$ ,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists n$ ,  $\liminf_p \Delta(z_n, z_p) \leq \varepsilon$ . b)  $\forall (y_1, y_2) \in \overline{Z}^2, \forall u \in U, \exists v \in U \text{ such that}$  $D \uparrow \Delta(y_1, y_2)(g(y_1, u), g(y_2, v)) \leq 0, \ h(y_2, v) - h(y_1, u) \leq \hat{\alpha}(\Delta(y_1, y_2)).$ Then  $\Gamma(y_0)$  has a uniform value  $\lim_{t\to\infty} V_t = V^*$ .

## Remarks

• First result corresponds to the case where:  $\Delta(y_1, y_2) = \|y_1 - y_2\|^2$ ,  $G(y_0)$  is bounded, and h(y, u) = h(y) (one can just take  $\hat{\alpha}(t) = \sup\{|h(x) - h(y)|, \|x - y\|^2 \le t\}$ ).

• Although  $\Delta$  may not satisfy the triangular inequality nor the separation property, it may be seen as a "distance" adapted to the problem  $\Gamma(y_0)$ .

•  $D \uparrow$  is the contingent epi-derivative (which reduces to the upper Dini derivative if  $\Delta$  is Lipschitz)  $D \uparrow \Delta(z)(\alpha) = \lim \inf_{t \to 0^+, \alpha' \to \alpha} \frac{1}{t} (\Delta(z + t\alpha') - \Delta(z))$ . If  $\Delta$  is differentiable, the condition  $D \uparrow \Delta(y_1, y_2)(g(y_1, u), g(y_2, v)) \leq 0$  just reads:  $< g(y_1, u), \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \Delta(y_1, y_2) > + < g(y_2, v), \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \Delta(y_1, y_2) > \leq 0$ . • The assumption: " $\{(g(y, u), h(y, u)) \in IR^d \times [0, 1], u \in U\}$ closed" could be checked for instance if U is compact and if h and g are continuous with respect to (y, u).

• H2a) is a precompacity condition. It is satisfied as soon as  $G(y_0)$  is bounded. cf Renault 2008

• Notice that H2 is satisfied with  $\Delta = 0$  if we are in the trivial case where  $\inf_u h(y, u)$  is constant.

• Theorem 8 can be applied to example 4, with  $\Delta(y_1, y_2) = \|y_1 - y_2\|_1$  ( $L^1$ -norm). In this example, we have for each  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$  and u:  $\Delta(y_1 + tg(y_1, u), y_2 + tg(y_2, u)) \leq \Delta(y_1, y_2)$  as soon as  $t \geq 0$  is small enough.

## Proposition 9 We have

 $\begin{cases} \forall (y_1, y_2) \in \bar{Z}^2, \ \forall T \ge 0, \ \forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}, \ \exists v \in \mathcal{U}, \\ \forall t \in [0, T], \ \Delta(y(t, u, y_1), y(t, v, y_2)) \le \Delta(y_1, y_2) + \varepsilon, \\ and \ for \ almost \ every \ t \in [0, T], \\ h(y(t, v, y_2), v(t)) - h(y(t, u, y_1), u(t)) \le \hat{\alpha}(\Delta(y(t, u, y_1), y(t, v, y_2))). \end{cases}$ Corollary 10 For every  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  in  $G(y_0)$ , for all T > 0,

 $|V_T(y_1) - V_T(y_2)| \le \hat{\alpha}(\Delta(y_1, y_2)).$ 

Lemma 11 For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $m_0$  in  $IR_+$  such that:

 $\forall z \in G(y_0), \exists z' \in G^{m_0}(y_0) \text{ such that } \Delta(z, z') \leq \varepsilon.$ Proposition 12  $V_t(y_0) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} V^*(y_0).$ 

### **On Uniform Value**

Definition 13  $\Gamma(y_0)$  has a uniform value if  $\exists V(y_0)$  and if:  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists u \in \mathcal{U}, \exists t_0, \forall t \ge t_0, \frac{1}{t} \int_{s=0}^t h(y(s, u, y_0), u(s)) ds \le V(y_0) + \varepsilon.$ Given  $m \ge 0$  and  $n \ge 1, \forall z \in Z = G(y_0) \forall u \in \mathcal{U}$ , we define  $\nu_{m,n}(z, u) = \sup_{t \in [1,n]} \gamma_{m,t}(z, u), \text{ and } W_{m,n}(z) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \nu_{m,n}(z, u).$ 

 $W_{m,n}$  is the value function of the problem where the controller can use the time interval [0,m] to reach a "good state", and then his cost is only the supremum for t in [1,n], of the average cost between time m and m+t. Obviously  $W_{m,n} \ge V_{m,n}$ .

#### Uniform convergence and existence of a Uniform Value

- STEP 1 For every z and z' in Z, for all  $m \ge 0$  and  $n \ge 1$ ,  $|V_{m,n}(z) - V_{m,n}(z')| \le \hat{\alpha}(\Delta(z,z')), |W_{m,n}(z) - W_{m,n}(z')| \le \hat{\alpha}(\Delta(z,z')).$
- STEP 2  $\forall k, n \ge 1, \forall m, \forall z \in Z, V_{m,n}(z) \ge \inf_{l \ge m} W_{l,k}(z) \frac{k}{n}$ .
- STEP 3  $\forall z \in Z$ ,  $\inf_{m \ge 0} \sup_{n \ge 1} W_{m,n}(z) = \inf_{m \ge 0} \sup_{n \ge 1} V_{m,n}(z)$ =  $V^*(z) = \sup_{n \ge 1} \inf_{m \ge 0} V_{m,n}(z) = \sup_{n \ge 1} \inf_{m \ge 0} W_{m,n}(z).$
- STEP 4 The convergence of  $(V_n)_n$  to  $V^*$  is uniform on Z.
- STEP 5  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists M \ge 0, \exists K \ge 1, \forall z \in Z, \exists m \le M, \forall n \ge K, \exists u \in \mathcal{U}$  such that:

 $\nu_{m,n}(z,u) \leq V^*(z) + \varepsilon/2$ , and  $V^*(y(m+n,u,z)) \leq V^*(z) + \varepsilon$ .

**Optimal control with discounted facteur**  $\lambda \to 0^+$ 

We define  $\Theta_{\lambda}(y_0) := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \int_{s=0}^{+\infty} \lambda e^{-\lambda s} h(y(s, u, y_0), u(s)) ds$ , Recall the Technical Lemma : $\forall m_0 \geq 0$ , we have:  $\sup_{t>0} \inf_{m \le m_0} V_{m,t}(y_0) \ge V^+(y_0) \ge V^-(y_0) \ge \sup_{t>0} \inf_{m \ge 0} V_{m,t}(y_0).$ **Define**  $\Theta^-(y_0) := \liminf_{\lambda \to 0} \Theta_\lambda(y_0), \Theta^+(y_0) := \limsup_{\lambda \to 0} \Theta_\lambda(y_0).$ Lemma 14 sup inf  $V_{m,t}(y_0) \ge \Theta^+(y_0) \ge \Theta^-(y_0) \ge \sup_{t>0} \inf_{m\ge 0} V_{m,t}(y_0).$ Theorem 15 Under the assumptions of Theorem 5 or 8, the limit  $\lim_{\lambda \to 0^+} \Theta_{\lambda}(y_0)$  exists.

# Contents

- 1. Introduction and examples
- 2. Existence of limit value in nonexpansive case
- 3. Generalisations
- 4. ► Open problems

Differential Game at horizon t:

$$V_t(y_0) := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \frac{1}{t} \int_{s=0}^t h(y(s, u, v, y_0), u(s), v(s)) ds,$$

where  $s \mapsto y(s, u, y_0)$  denotes the solution to

 $y'(s) = g(y(s), u(s), v(s))), \quad y(0) = y_0.$ 

**OPEN PROBLEM : Existence of a limit of**  $V_t(y_0)$  as  $t \to \infty$ . Only Partial results:

- When the Hamiltonian is coercive (hence ergodicity and the limit is *y* independent)Alvarez-Bardi ...
- For nonconvex and non coercive Hamiltonian in  $IR^2$  Cardaliagu

#### References

- [1] O. Alvarez, M. Bardi Ergodicity, Stabilization, and singular perturbations for Bellman-Isaacs equations To appear in Mem AMS.
- [2] Z. Artstein, and V. Gaitsgory, *The value function of singularly perturbed control* systems, Appl. Math. Optim., 41 (2000), 425-445.
- [3] Arisawa, M. and P.L. Lions (1998) Ergodic problem for the Hamilton Jacobi Belmann equations II, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Analyse Nonlinéaire, 15, 1, 1–24.
- [4] Arisawa, M. and P.L. Lions (1998) On ergodic stochastic control. Com. in partial differential equations, 23, 2187–2217.
- [5] Bettiol, P. (2005) On ergodic problem for Hamilton-Jacobi-Isaacs equations ESAIM: Cocv, 11, 522–541.
- [6] Cardaliaguet P. Ergodicity of Hamilton-Jacobi equations with a non coercive non convex Hamiltonian in  $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$  preprint [hal-00348219 version 1] (18/12/2008)
- [7] Frankowska, H., Plaskacz, S. and Rzezuchowski T. (1995): Measurable Viability Theorems and Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equation, J. Diff. Eqs., 116, 265-305.

- [8] V. Gaitsgory, Use of Averaging Method in Control Problems, Differential Equations (Translated from Russian), 46 (1986) pp. 1081-1088.
- [9] V. Gaitsgory, M. Quincampoix Linear programming analysis of deterministic infinite horizon optimal control problems (discounting and time averaging cases), to appear in Siam Journal of Control and Opt.
- [10] G. Grammel, Averaging of singularly perturbed systems, Nonlinear Analysis Theory, 28, 11 (1997), 1851-1865.
- [11] Lions P.-L. , Papanicolaou G. , Varadhan S.R.S., Homogenization of Hamilton-Jacobi Equations, unpublished work.
- [12] Quincampoix, M. and F. Watbled (2003) Averaging methods for discontinuous Mayer's problem of singularly perturbed control systems. *Nonlinear analysis*, 54, 819–837.
- [13] Quincampoix, M. and J. Renault (submitted) On Existence of a limit value in some non expansive optimal control problems, and application to averaging of singularly perturbed systems.
- [14] Renault, J. (2007) Uniform value in Dynamic Programming. Cahier du Ceremade 2007-1. arXiv : 0803.2758.

# Thank You for your Attention